1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	RICHARD GLENN NOVAK (CAB 149303) richard@rgnlaw.com Richard G. Novak, A Professional Law Corporation richard@rgnlaw.com P.O. Box 5549 Berkeley, CA 94705 Telephone: 626-578-1175 richard@rgnlaw.com C. RENÉE MANES (CAB 158528) renee manes@fd.org PEYTON LEE (OSB 164224) peyton_lee@fd.org Admitted Pro Hac Vice Federal Public Defender's Office 101 SW Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204-3228 Telephone: (503) 326-2123	VICTOR J. ABREU victor abreu@fd.org NANCY MacEOIN nancy_maceoin@fd.org Admitted Pro Hac Vice Federal Community Defer for the Eastern District of 601 Walnut Street, Suite 5 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Telephone: (215) 928-11 Attorneys for Defendant V	f Pennsylvania 540W 00	
11	Attorneys for Defendant Daniel Chavez			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
15				
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 3:1	Case No. 3:15-cr-00285-PCP	
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. DANIEL CHAVEZ, VICTOR SKATES,	DEFENDANTS' JOINT REPORT PRIOR TO STATUS CONFERENCE		
20 21 22	et al., Defendants.	Status Conference: Time: Location:	April 10, 2024 10:00 am Courtroom 8	
23	TO THE COURT:			
24	Counsel for the defendants, Mr. Daniel Chavez and Mr. Victor Skates, present this report to			
25	provide this Court with some background information on this matter. While more detail is provided			
26	below, the brief summary is that Mr. Chavez and Mr. Skates are the only two of the original nin			
27	defendants remaining for trial; the government has confirmed that this will proceed as a non-capita			
28	case; and, counsel for defendants estimate a time period for trial of four months.			
	Defendants' Report Prior To Status Conference Case No. 3:15-cr-00285-PCP	I.		

NATURE OF THE CASE, INCLUDING CAPITAL CASE CONSIDERATIONS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The government brought charges against nine defendants in the original Indictment, filed on May 27, 2015. (Clerk's Record entry 1.) A Superseding Indictment was filed on October 28, 2015 (CR 40), which is the current charging document.

The Superseding Indictment pled seventy-three separate counts, including an overarching conspiracy under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). The government's contentions regarding the RICO conspiracy are that the crimes charged were committed as part of a criminal enterprise involving Nuestra Familia. More precisely, the government contends that Nuestra Familia is the overarching criminal enterprise, and is a gang founded in the California Department of Corrections. (CR 40, Superseding Indictment, at ¶¶ 1-4.) The government contends that *Nuestra Familia* operates outside of prison through a "clique" or "hood" called the *Norteños*, and that in the Salinas area of California, the *Norteños* operate in smaller street gangs including East Las Casitas (ELC), Salinas East Market (SEM) and Santa Rita. The government further contends that three gangs operate together as an entity called the "Salinas Norteños Enterprise." (CR 40, at ¶ 1-14.) While the Superseding Indictment is the charging instrument, defense counsel have also been provided with a list of the events that the government previously stated would be presented in the case which totals seventy-nine events, spanning a period of just under eight years. Twenty-four of those events are charged as either specific counts or overt acts in the Superseding Indictment. The events include twenty-three homicides, of which twelve are charged in the Superseding Indictment; twenty-three attempted homicides, of which three have been charged; and, thirty-three robberies, of which nine have been charged. Defense counsel understand from discussions with current counsel for the government that this list may be changed and/or narrowed prior to the time of trial. All of the events occurred in the areas around Salinas and the South Bay.

On January 4, 2017, the government gave notice that it would not seek the death penalty against seven of the defendants, maintaining the right to seek the death penalty against Daniel Chavez and Victor Skates. (CR 137.) Over the next few years, six defendants entered pleas to various counts and the charges against one defendant, Terrell Golden, were dismissed. (CR 545.)

The procedures for determining whether the government would seek the death penalty against

1 2 any defendant, including Mr. Chavez and Mr. Skates, are established in the United States 3 Department of Justice's Justice Manual ("JM", previously known as the United States Attorney's *Manual*). Briefly: the local United States Attorney's office where the trial is to occur files charges 4 5 that carry the potential for a death sentence; the USAO confirms that it is considering proceeding against certain, or all, defendants capitally; the defense team¹ is allowed to make a presentation to 6 7 the local USAO regarding why the death penalty should not be sought; the local USAO sends its 8 recommendation and the defense team presentation to the Capital Case Committee at the Justice 9 Department; if the recommendation is to seek the death penalty, the defense team is invited to make 10 a presentation to the Committee; the Committee in turn makes its recommendation to the Attorney General through the Deputy Attorney General; and, the ultimate decision rests with the Attorney 11 12 General. 13 14 15

On September 18, 2018, after following this procedure, the government gave notice of an intent to seek a sentence of death against Mr. Skates. (CR 494.) In March of 2019, capitally qualified counsel with the Federal Community Defender Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania joined Mr. Skates' defense team, including current counsel Assistant Federal Public Defenders Victor Abreu and Nancy MacEoin. (See CR 670 & 683-685.)

The capital case presentation on behalf of Daniel Chavez was delayed by a substitution of conflicted counsel. Mr. Chavez's first capitally qualified defense team, led by counsel James Thomson, discovered a conflict and were relieved effective April 2, 2018. (CR 327.) The new capitally qualified team was led by counsel Mark R. Vermeulen. That team also developed a conflict and were relieved in early January of 2019. (See, e.g., CR 665.) The current defense team for Mr. Chavez, led by counsel Richard Novak, was appointed on February 8, 2019. (CR 692.) Mr. Novak is joined by Assistant Federal Public Defenders C. Renée Manes and Peyton Lee, who are with the Federal Public Defender's Office in Oregon. The presentation was then delayed for a

27

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

¹ Under 18 U.S.C. § 3005 the defense team should be lead by a qualified Learned Counsel and include at least one other attorney with experience in defending homicide cases.

period by the pandemic. On March 2, 2022, the government filed a notice not to seek the death penalty against Mr. Chavez. (CR 975.)

Based on changes in circumstances, including the decision not to seek a capital sentence against Mr. Chavez, counsel for Mr. Skates asked the Capital Case Committee to reconsider the decision regarding Mr. Skates. On February 23, 2023, the government filed notice that it would no longer be seeking the death penalty against Mr. Skates. (CR 993.) This left the matter ready to proceed through the remaining litigation and trial.

REASSIGNMENTS OF COURT AND COUNSEL

The matter was originally venued in San Jose given the location of the crimes in the Salinas and South Bay areas, and was assigned to the Honorable Lucy H. Koh. When Judge Koh was appointed to the Ninth Circuit, the case was reassigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer, who sits in San Francisco. (CR 972.) Senior Judge Breyer had the case through the pendency of the government's determination of whether to proceed against either defendant capitally. However, when it came time to schedule the matter for trial, Senior Judge Breyer was unable to accommodate the lengthy trial on his docket, and the matter was reassigned to the Honorable James Donato effective April 26, 2023. (CR 1001.)

In January of 2024, new counsel for the government, Assistant United States Attorneys Jonathan Lee and Sloan Heffron, entered an appearance, replacing AUSA Stephen Meyer, who was leaving the USAO, and who had been one of the lead prosecutors from the beginning of this matter. (CR 1033.)

At the next status conference before Judge Donato, with the agreement of all the parties the trial date was set for September of 2025. Then, AUSA Lee informed the Court that virtually all victims and witnesses lived primarily in Salinas with others in the South Bay, such that travel to San Francisco for trial could pose hardships. Thereafter, effective February 2, 2024, this matter was returned to the San Jose venue, before this Court, the Honorable P. Casey Pitts. (CR 1039.)

REMAINING LITIGATION AND TRIAL

In addition to addressing the capital case question, the parties have engaged in significant litigation over the course of the case. Prior scheduling orders have required the parties to exchange

expert designations and file substantive motions, including motions *in limine*, well in advance of trial and the completion of discovery. While the defense objected to the timing, some motions were filed in August of 2018 (*see* CR 417, 419, 420, 422, 424, 427, 428, 435, 437, 438, 444, 445, 447, 450, 451, 452, 454, 455, and 458); and, additional motions were filed in September of 2021. (*See* 939, 941, 942, 943, 949, 951, 952, 953, 954, 959, 960, 951, 952, 967, 968, and 969.) Many of the motions were ruled on, although the joint motion to limit gang expert testimony, filed on September 10, 2021 (CR 942), has not been resolved. Then, there have been significant developments in the science and law on issues such as pattern matching, which is relevant to the admissibility of ballistics and similar evidence, that supports reconsideration of prior rulings. Further, critical discovery remains outstanding from the government. Prior rulings precluding admission of proposed defense experts were based on the failure to point to relevant evidence in the record (*see*, *e.g.*, CR 968 (exclusion of defense expert)), such that the provision of discovery will provide a factual basis for the expert and support reconsideration of those motions.

PRIMARY ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED

From the perspective of Mr. Chavez and Mr. Skates, the primary issue to be resolved at the upcoming status conference is confirming that the September 2025 trial date is available on this Court's calendar. Again, the defense believe that, based on the number of events at issue, a trial in this matter will take four months.

Once the trial date is confirmed, the defense anticipates working with the government to set dates for the remaining proceedings, including: provision of remaining non-Jencks or Insider discovery; provision of Jencks and Insider discovery pursuant to the attorneys-eyes-only protective order; filing of any additional law and motion raised by newly provided discovery (i.e., motions to suppress, any necessary motions to compel); supplemental notification of expert witnesses; supplemental Daubert litigation; determination of the date when attorneys-eyes-only discovery may be shared with the defendants; filing of proposed jury questionnaires; filing of proposed jury instructions; and, filing of the remaining trial documents including motions in limine, trial briefs, the government's witness and exhibit list, and defense disclosures required by F.R.Cr.P. 16.

1 The parties have previously exchanged proposed scheduling orders on these issues, and will 2 continue to do so after the trial date is confirmed. Counsel for the defendants suggest setting a 3 further status conference in mid-May so that the parties may provide this Court with either the joint 4 proposed schedule or, if necessary, analysis on any issues in dispute. 5 Respectfully submitted this 5th day of April, 2024. 6 7 /s/ Richard Novak Victor J. Abreu Richard Novak Victor J. Abreu Assistant Federal Defender 8 A Professional Law Corporation 9 /s/ C. Renée Manes /s/ Nancy MacEoin C. Renée Manes Nancy MacEoin Assistant Federal Public Defender Assistant Federal Defender 10 11 /s/ Peyton Lee Attorneys for Defendant Victor Skates Peyton Lee 12 Assistant Federal Public Defender 13 Attorneys for Defendant Daniel Chavez 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2425 26 27

28